The first irradiance





- Spectral calibration
- Absolute radiometric calibration
- Spatial pattern
- Stray light

0

2300

....and others



Spectral calibration

- Clear indication of spectral mismatch between measured and reference, asking for an update of spectral calibration
- The algorithm based on the non-linear least square fitting (Kang et al., 2020) is applied to full spectrum for each spatial index using a reference solar spectrum
 - ✓ It also derives parameters of analytical spectral response function (SRF) for GEMS



F_{GEMS} is nadir measurement (spatial index is 1024)

3

Spectral calibration

Updated results

- Update of spectral calibration reduces the high frequency variation significantly at all spatial positions
- However, there are systematic differences of irradiance as much as about 10%







Thermistor dataset vs. spectral shift

- Spectral shifts are closely related with several GEMS thermistors
 - ✓ FPA temperature, and telescope temperature





Spectral response function

- SRF of GEMS is also characterized using the SRF parameters of a best-matched analytical function (Asymmetric Super Gaussian function) derived during the spectral calibration process
- In-flight SRFs retrieved from the first GEMS irradiance are similar to prelaunch ones
 ✓ No significant change occur during the harsh launch process





6

Monitoring of in-flight SRF

- Continuous monitoring of the GEMS SRF for the whole mission lifetime is necessary
- The temporal variations of width over from April 23, 2020 to March 21, 2021 indicate quite a stable variation given the preliminary nature of the daily GEMS irradiance
 - ✓ Variations of *w* (half width at 1/e intensity) are smaller than 0.006 nm and 0.004 nm for 330.0 nm and 390.0 nm, respectively
 - In-flight spectral performance and characteristics of GEMS are similar to those investigated from prelaunch



Relative irradiance



Variation of relative irradiance

- During the year, irradiance data show a large variation along both spatial and spectral direction
 - \checkmark The variation pattern differs for different wavelength and locations
 - Such a spatial variation is not clear in the radiance data, which is one of reason the angular effect of BTDF variation with the incident sun geometry



Relative irradiance



Variation of relative irradiance

- During the year, irradiance data show a large variation along both spatial and spectral direction
 - ✓ The variation pattern differs for different wavelength and locations
 - Such a spatial variation is not clear in the radiance data, which is one of reason the angular effect of BTDF variation with the incident sun geometry





In-flight calibration of BTDF

 Update the ground BTDF map using solar reference spectrum and calibrate using azimuth and relative irradiance (goniometric correction) (Dobber et al., 2004; Kleipool et al., 2020)





In-flight calibration of BTDF

Systematic biases and clear spatial inhomogeneity are improved





Inter-comparison with OMPS and TROPOMI

• On deep convective cloud (the brightest scene) [every 5 days, November 2020 to January 2021]





Inter-comparison with OMPS and TROPOMI

Clear sky (the darkness scene) [every 5 days, November 2020 to January 2021]



12

Ongoing works (LED, Dark, RSD, radiance)

Validate the ground calibration tables & trend monitoring

Non-linearity, gain, offsets, saturation threshold, bad pixels, etc.





Summary



Irradiance show expected characteristics with a few exceptions

- There are spatial patterns in both raw digital count and calibrated solar irradiance which also shows a temporal variation
- \checkmark Irradiance values are smaller than OMPS and similar to TROPOMI

Near future activities

- \checkmark Resolve issues in the diffuser BTDF appeared in updated process
- \checkmark Improve stray light correction, especially at the shorter end of spectrum
- ✓ Validate the calibration coefficients (linearity, gain...)
- ✓ Monitor the variation of the in-flight measurements (offsets, dark, LED)



Thank you!